UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,724	12/07/2005	Hozumi Yasuda	2005-1928A	1836
513 7590 06/10/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, NICHOLAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Neder of Aboutlement	10/559,724	YASUDA ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NICHOLAS A. SMITH	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of 						
Allowance (PTOL-85).	1 3	,				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review				
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:						
Verified that no response has been filed in the present application within the response period to the outstanding office action via a telephone call with Douglas Hahm on 5 June 2009.						
	/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1795				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090608